UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO.
	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	nsel report that they have met ipulation pursuant to Civil L.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	agree to participate in the foll	owing ADR process:
□ □ (Note: Particappreciably ADR phone ADR Phone	more likely to meet their nee conference and may not file	(ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties a	referring the case to an Al	n by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated:		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:		Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

ant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
Mediation
Private ADR
ine for ADR session
90 days from the date of this order. other
other
DERED. IT IS SO ORDERED
Judge Edward M. Chen Judge Edward M. Chen Judge Edward M. Chen Judge Edward M. Chen